

Pelagic Advisory Council Louis Braillelaan 80 2719 EK Zoetermeer The Netherlands

Phone: +31 (0)63 375 6324 Website: www.pelagic-ac.org

Dr. Marie Vandewalle

Head of Eklipse Management Body Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ Permoserstraße 15 04318 Leipzig / Germany Phone: 0049 341 235 1636

Date: 27 June 2025 PelAC reference: 2425PAC78 Subject: PelAC response to the Call for Review of the draft report on "Cumulative impacts of offshore wind farm expansions: consequences & solutions for achieving GES across European marine waters"

Dear Dr. Vandewalle,

Please find attached the Pelagic Advisory Council's response to the Call for Review of the draft report on "Cumulative impacts of offshore wind farm expansions: consequences & solutions for achieving GES across European marine waters".

We hope that you can take the content of this correspondence into consideration,

Kind regards,

Esben Sverdrup-Jensen

Chair of the Pelagic Advisory Council







PelAC response to the Call for Review of the draft report on "Cumulative impacts of offshore wind farm expansions: consequences & solutions for achieving GES across European marine waters"

The Pelagic AC (PelAC) welcomes the opportunity to respond to the Call for Review of the draft report on "Cumulative impacts of offshore wind farm expansions: consequences & solutions for achieving GES across European marine waters" (link). Due to the tight deadline for responses, the PelAC was unable to provide a response using the prescribed online format. The PelAC provides consensus-based advice involving several internal review, consultation and approval procedures which require sufficient time for discussion and consensus building. Therefore, it was decided to provide a response in the format of an open letter.

Since 2020, the PelAC has collaborated extensively with the NWWAC on the topic of offshore renewable energy developments and potential effects on commercial fisheries, starting with the establishment of a joint Focus Group, and including

- NWWAC/PELAC advice for non-recurrent request to ICES on seismic impacts (August 2020, link)
- NWWAC/PELAC/NSAC advice for a non-recurrent request to ICES on impacts of wind energy developments (November 2020, <u>link</u>)
- Workshop on the impacts of seismic and offshore wind energy developments on fisheries on May 2022 (link)
- Joint NWWAC/PeIAC advice on the impacts of underwater noise and offshore wind energy developments on commercial fisheries (October 2022, <u>link</u>)
- Briefing on the Maritime Area Planning Act Ireland 2021 on January 2023 (link)
- Joint NWWAC/PelAC to the European Commission calling for increased policy coherence between environment and fisheries dimensions (June 2024, <u>link</u>)
- NWWAC/PelAC submission on the Ecological Sensitivity Analysis of the Celtic Sea to inform future designation of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) Report (December 2024, <u>link</u>)
- NWWAC/PelAC Advice to the North Western Waters Member States group on renewable energy developments in the marine space, February 2025 (<u>link</u>)
- NWWAC/PelAC webinar on EU fisheries and ORE developments, February 2025 (link)
- NWWAC/PelAC Advice on the impact of Offshore Renewable Energy Developments on commercial fisheries, June 2025 (<u>link</u>)

Research regarding potential impacts of ORE developments is increasing internationally as well as nationally, and it is vital that the ACs base their work and advice on the most up to date scientific knowledge. Therefore, the ACs regularly invite experts to dedicated meetings, and work carried out by Eklipse on the request put out by DG ENV was introduced to members of the Advisory Councils (ACs) in January 2025 at the meeting of the joint Focus Group Spatial Dimension (<u>link</u>).







During the discussion, AC members expressed their appreciation for the robustness of the methodology and the process. However, it was generally felt that the main issue seemed to be the lack of robust science as well as the knowledge gaps on the impacts on the marine environment, and the resulting limitation regarding the possibility of drawing conclusions from insufficient evidence. AC members are pleased to see this identified in the draft report *"This report underscores the need for a comprehensive, long-term, and integrated approach to assessing and managing the impacts of offshore wind energy production at sea, including cumulative impacts on marine ecosystems. Significant gaps in knowledge persist and addressing these gaps through improved monitoring, cross disciplinary research, new and standardised assessment methodologies and adaptive policy frameworks will be essential to ensure that Good Environmental Status is achieved." It should be noted here that these shortcomings are inconsistent with the positive statements made elsewhere in the report.*

The ambitious targets for development of offshore Marine Renewable Energy will mean increasing competition for space particularly in nearshore waters. Construction and operation of marine renewable energy will have implications for almost all descriptors. The PeIAC notes that one of the key takeaways across all descriptors analysed in this project relates to knowledge gaps relating to impacts other than caused by fisheries. Fishing activity in European waters is extensively monitored and assessed; however, it is not the only human activity that can contribute (negatively or positively) towards the achievement of GES. A harmonised and equal approach to monitoring and assessing all commercial activities in the marine space must be implemented in order to arrive at a balanced evaluation. This must include quantification of the key economic effects on fisheries resulting from ORE development. All marine activities should be assessed based on their current societal value and ecological impact, with each held proportionately accountable for their impact.

The Eklipse report suggests that offshore wind turbines can act as artificial reefs, attracting certain marine species to their foundations. The impact of this known concept (Degraer et al. 2020) depends on spatial and temporal contexts and scales. Local food webs are affected, but production is not necessarily increased. Species might only aggregate near the new hard structures. The reef effect remains very localised and does not allow us to conclude that there is a net increase in biomass or an overall benefit for fisheries.

Furthermore, the report highlights the major disruptive effects of underwater noise, particularly during the piling of the foundations, which can cause many species to flee or become stressed. In the event where the initial disturbance is not mitigated well enough, the positive ecological role of wind turbines cannot be highlighted. A trade-off analysis between the initial impact of the deployment and the ecological gains obtained from the deployment of ORE is needed to achieve at minimum a no net loss or even a net positive outcome.

Measuring the benefits of ORE deployment cannot happen without a rigorous pre- and postinstallation monitoring and long-term follow-up. The report acknowledges these shortcomings but underlines some of the possible solutions. The PeIAC would like to understand how the knowledge gaps of risks and opportunities provided by ORE deployment will be addressed. Additionally, the







contribution of the identified potential nature enhancing opportunities to increased biodiversity and increased commercial fish stock production remains unclear.

A credible assessment of the ecological effects of offshore wind projects can only be based on ecological monitoring that includes baseline data prior to installation and continuous monitoring after installation. Otherwise, the positive or negative effects remain unquantifiable, and environmental assessments risk being arbitrary.

In addition, assessment tools for potential impacts of ORE on both fisheries and the environment must be spatially adequate in order to assess any direct impacts from a development as well as potential cumulative impacts. Currently assessments are carried out at different spatial resolutions, and a finer resolution in the analysis will better present the interactions between sectors and potential effects.

Ocean governance and climate change are interconnected, requiring engagement and dialogue between researchers, citizens, stakeholders and decision makers. The commercial fishing sector plays an important role in achieving the objectives of the deliverable (GES) for several descriptors, which only strengthens the view of the importance of inclusion of fisheries stakeholders in the management of the marine environment.

It is imperative to strengthen collaborations between the industry and science in order to develop a full picture of the state of environment and to understand the underlying premises for important and necessary trade-offs between nature conservation and resource utilisation.

Advisory Councils, though operating in the framework of the CFP, should be able to reach those environmental policy actors and departments whose work affects the implementation of CFP and the state of fisher communities and fisheries resources. Advisory Councils by default represent both, nature conservation advocates and the fisheries sector and their role should be recognised as overarching.

While the PelAC understands that there have been occasions for stakeholder involvement in this project with additional opportunities over the next couple of months, calls for involvement were not strategically directed at the ACs which are representative and legitimate EU fisheries stakeholder bodies and legally recognised as organisations pursuing an aim of general European interest. Therefore, the PelAC calls on DG ENV to recognise the Advisory Councils as strategic stakeholder bodies and increase direct communication regarding relevant work carried out in the marine space.





